

Re: Josephson & Ross on debate flexibility

Kenneth Chesebro [REDACTED]

Tue 1/5/2021 7:58 AM

To: Eastman, John [REDACTED]

Cc: Boris Epshteyn [REDACTED]

Actually, best to scroll up from here, then read down:

<https://twitter.com/badgerpundit/status/1343240948663382023?s=21>

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Kenneth Chesebro [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, January 5, 2021 7:56:08 AM

To: Eastman, John [REDACTED]

Cc: Boris Epshteyn [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: Josephson & Ross on debate flexibility

That Twitter thread features a good summary of the other side. Jed is a rare honest liberal scholar.

<https://twitter.com/jedshug/status/1342558145382670337?s=21>

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Kenneth Chesebro [REDACTED]

Sent: Tuesday, January 5, 2021 7:54:30 AM

To: Eastman, John [REDACTED]

Cc: Boris Epshteyn [REDACTED]

Subject: Re: Josephson & Ross on debate flexibility

I mentioned this earlier, but of course the Ackerman article on Jefferson in 1801 is helpful — excerpts here: <https://twitter.com/badgerpundit/status/1343240948663382023?s=21>

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Kenneth Chesebro

Sent: Tuesday, January 5, 2021 12:59:49 AM

To: Eastman, John [REDACTED]

Cc: Boris Epshteyn [REDACTED]

Subject: Josephson & Ross on debate flexibility

Final article is 22 J. of Legis. 145 (1996).

Discusses, based on the 1969 electoral count, possible ways of having Senate speeches longer than 5 mins per Senator, and 2 hours total, consistent with the Electoral Count Act.

<https://drive.google.com/file/> [REDACTED]

